INDEX NO. 002913/2018

5:18-cv-432 (DNH/ATB)

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF ONONDAGA

BRYAN CHAPEL, Individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, as Class/Collective representatives,

Index No.

Plaintiff(s),

Summons

-against-

SPENCER GIFTS, LLC

Date Index No. Purchased:

Defendant(s).

To the above named Defendant(s)

Spencer Gifts, LLC 6826 Black Horse Pike Egg Harbor Township, NJ 08234

You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance, on the Plaintiff's attorney within 20 days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within 30 days after the service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

The basis of venue is Residence of Plaintiff which is Onondaga County, New York

Dated: New York, New York

March 20, 2018

SHAVITZ LAW GROUP, P

Attorneys for Plaintiff

830 3rd Avenue, 5th Floor New York, NY 10022 Telephone: (800) 616-4000 Facsimile: (561) 447-8831

FILED: ONONDAGA COUNTY CLERK 03/20/2018 11:48 AM INDEX NO. 002913/2018 NYSCEF DOC. NO. CASE 5:18-CV-00432-DNH-ATB DOCUMENT 1-1 Filed 04/09/18 Page 2 of 17 NYSCEF: 03/20/2018

PLAINTIFF'S COLLECTIVE AND CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff BRYAN CHAPEL, by his attorneys Shavitz Law Group, P.A., alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This lawsuit seeks to recover unpaid overtime compensation for Plaintiff during the time he worked as an exempt-classified Store Manager ("SM") for Defendant SPENCER GIFTS, LLC ("SPENCER GIFTS") in New York state.
- 2. SPENCER GIFTS is a retail company operating approximately 550 retail stores in the United States and throughout New York state.
- 3. According to its website, SPENCER GIFTS sells "unique product for the lifestyle of our core 18-24 year old guests, who are high energy, technologically savvy, edgy, demanding, independent and always inspired by humor and irreverence."¹
- 4. In order to minimize labor costs, SPENCER GIFTS staffs its stores leanly and strictly manages hours worked by non-exempt, hourly workers to avoid paying them overtime.

http://www.spencersonline.com/content.jsp?pageName=About.

To compensate for this deliberate understaffing, SPENCER GIFTS relies heavily on its salaried SMs to staff the stores when there are not enough hourly employees to properly do so. Because of SPENCER GIFTS' retail hours and lean staffing model, SMs regularly work in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek and frequently work ten or more hours a day.

- 5. Although labeled as a "manager," Plaintiff was not primarily responsible for true management functions. To the contrary, Plaintiff spent the vast majority of time performing the same duties as non-exempt, hourly employees in the retail store such as customer service, stocking products, setting up displays, working on the cash register, unloading products, cleaning, and merchandising.
- 6. The primary duties of SMs do not fall within any of the exemptions under federal or state overtime laws.
- 7. The primary duties of the SM position do not vary across SPENCER GIFTS' stores.
- 8. Throughout the relevant period, SPENCER GIFTS' policy across its stores has been to uniformly classify SMs as exempt from federal and state overtime provisions and not pay SMs any overtime wages.
- 9. SPENCER GIFTS, LLC did not pay Plaintiff overtime wages for any hours worked in excess of 40 in a workweek while he was employed by Defendant as an exempt-classified SM.
- 10. SPENCER GIFTS, LLC's failure to pay overtime wages to Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective members (defined below), and New York Class Members (defined below) violated the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, *et seq.* ("FLSA") and New York Labor Law, Article 19, §§ 650, et seq. ("NYLL") and supporting regulations.

FILED: ONONDAGA COUNTY CLERK 03/20/2018 11:48 AM INDEX NO. 002913/2018 NYSCEF DOC. NO. CASE 5:18-CV-00432-DNH-ATB DOCUMENT 1-1 Filed 04/09/18 Page 4 of 17 NYSCEF: 03/20/2018

- 11. By the conduct described herein, Defendant has willfully violated the FLSA by failing to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective members the overtime wages Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective members have earned and to which Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective members are entitled by law.
- 12. Plaintiff Chapel brings this action on behalf of himself and similarly situated current and former employees of Defendant pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to remedy violations of the FLSA and supporting regulations.
- 13. Plaintiff Chapel also brings this action on behalf of himself and similarly situated current and former employees of Defendant who worked in New York pursuant to C.P.L.R. § 901 to remedy violations of the NYLL and supporting regulations.

THE PARTIES

Plaintiff Bryan Chapel

- 14. Plaintiff Bryan Chapel ("Chapel") is an adult individual who is a resident of Syracuse, New York.
- 15. Chapel was employed by Defendant from approximately July 2014 to November 2015 at a Spencer Gifts location in Clay, New York.²
- 16. Pursuant to Defendant's policy, pattern, and/or practice, Defendant paid Chapel an annual salary for 40 hours of work, but Chapel was not compensated for overtime hours worked. Chapel typically worked about 45 to 50 hours per week and sometimes worked as many as 60 or more hours per week as an exempt-classified SM, but received no compensation for any time worked in excess of 40 hours in any workweek as an exempt-classified SM.

Plaintiff and Defendant entered into a Tolling Agreement on September 29, 2016, tolling the FLSA and state wage and hour law claims as of September 1, 2016. The Tolling Agreement remained in effect until January 27, 2018.

FILED: ONONDAGA COUNTY CLERK 03/20/2018 11:48 AM INDEX NO. 002913/2018 UVSCEF DOC. NO. CASE 5:18-CV-00432-DNH-ATB DOCUMENT 1-1 Filed 04/09/18 Page 5 of 17 NYSCEF: 03/20/2018

- 17. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff was a covered employee within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.
 - 18. A written consent form for Plaintiff is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Defendant

- 19. SPENCER GIFTS, LLC is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in Egg Harbor Township, New Jersey, which operates retail stores throughout the United States.
- 20. At all relevant times, Defendant was or is an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.
- 21. Throughout the relevant period, Defendant employed and/or jointly employed Plaintiff within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL. Defendant had substantial control over Plaintiff's working conditions and the unlawful policies and practices alleged herein.
- 22. At all times relevant, Defendant maintained control, oversight and direction over Plaintiff, including timekeeping, payroll, and other employment practices that applied to Plaintiff.
- 23. At all times relevant, Defendant's annual gross volume of sales made or business done was not less than \$500,000.

JURISDICTION

- 24. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to NYLL § 663 and 29 U.S.C § 216(b).
- 25. Venue is proper in this county, pursuant to N.Y. C.P.L.R. § 503 because Plaintiff was employed by Defendant in a store located in Clay, New York (Onondaga County) during the time in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this claim occurred.

FILED: ONONDAGA COUNTY CLERK 03/20/2018 11:48 AM INDEX NO. 002913/2018

WYSCEF DOC. NO. Case 5:18-cv-00432-DNH-ATB Document 1-1 Filed 04/09/18 Page 6 of 17

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/20/2018

COMMON FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 26. Throughout their employment with Defendant, Plaintiff and other SMs regularly worked in excess of 40 hours per week.
- 27. Defendant was aware that Plaintiff and other SMs worked more than 40 hours per workweek, yet Defendant failed to pay them overtime compensation for any of the hours worked over 40 in a workweek.
- 28. Defendant failed to keep accurate records of the hours that Plaintiff and other SMs worked.
- 29. The primary duties of Plaintiff and other SMs were non-exempt in nature. They performed the same duties as the hourly employees who were entitled to overtime such as stocking shelves, operating the cash register, setting up displays, unloading products, performing customer service, and cleaning the store.
- 30. Plaintiff and other SMs were closely supervised by their Distract Managers, and through common corporate policies and procedures that defined and circumscribed their work. District Managers were responsible for the overall performance of the stores, and for evaluating and disciplining store employees. Plaintiff and other SMs were not responsible for the overall performance of the stores, or for evaluating or disciplining store employees.
- 31. Plaintiff and other SMs did not have authority to hire full-time employees or fire employees.
- 32. All of the work that Plaintiff and other SMs performed was assigned by Defendant and/or Defendant has been aware of all of the work that Plaintiff and other SMs performed.

FILED: ONONDAGA COUNTY CLERK 03/20/2018 11:48 AM INDEX NO. 002913/2018 UNDER NO. 002913/2018 Page 7 of 17 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/20/2018

33. Upon information and belief, Defendant's unlawful conduct has been pursuant to a corporate policy or practice of minimizing labor costs by violating the FLSA and NYLL.

34. Defendant was aware, or should have been aware, that the FLSA and NYLL required it to pay Plaintiff and other SMs overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of 40 per week.

35. Defendant was aware, or should have been aware, that Plaintiff's and other SMs' primary duties were stocking shelves, operating the cash register, setting up displays, unloading products, performing customer service, and cleaning the store, and that these duties do not fall within any overtime exemption under the FLSA or NYLL.

36. Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff and other SMs overtime was willful. Defendant's unlawful conduct has been widespread, repeated, and consistent.

COLLECTIVE-WIDE FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 37. Plaintiff Chapel brings the First Cause of Action, pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of himself and all similarly situated persons who work or have worked for Defendant as exempt-classified SMs in the United States, on or after October 22, 2013, who elect to opt-in to this action (the "FLSA Collective").
- 38. All of the work that Plaintiff Chapel and the FLSA Collective have performed has been assigned by Defendant, and/or Defendant has been aware of all of the work that Plaintiff Chapel and the FLSA Collective have performed.
- 39. As part of its regular business practice, Defendant has intentionally, willfully, and repeatedly engaged in a pattern, practice, and/or policy of violating the FLSA with respect to Plaintiff Chapel and the FLSA Collective. This policy and pattern or practice includes, but is not limited to:

FILED: ONONDAGA COUNTY CLERK 03/20/2018 11:48 AM INDEX NO. 002913/2018

- A. willfully failing to pay Plaintiff Chapel and the members of the FLSA Collective overtime wages for hours that they worked in excess of 40 hours per workweek; and
- B. willfully misclassifying Plaintiff Chapel and the members of the FLSA Collective as exempt from the protections of the FLSA.
- 40. Defendant is aware or should have been aware that the FLSA required it to pay employees performing non-exempt duties, including Plaintiff Chapel and members of the FLSA Collective, an overtime premium for hours worked in excess of 40 per workweek.
- 41. Plaintiff Chapel and the FLSA Collective all perform or performed the same or similar primary duties.
 - 42. Defendant's unlawful conduct has been widespread, repeated, and consistent.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 43. Plaintiff brings the Second and Third Causes of Action, the NYLL claims, pursuant to Article 9 of the New York Civil Practice Law and Rules on behalf of himself and all exempt-classified SMs who have worked at any store location in New York State between October 22, 2010, and the date of final judgment in this matter (the "New York Class Period") (the "New York Class").
- 44. Excluded from the New York Class are Defendant's legal representatives, officers, directors, assigns and successors, or any individual who has, or who at any time during the New York Class Period has had, a controlling interest in SPENCER GIFTS; the Judges to whom this case is assigned and any member of the Judges' immediate family; and all persons who will submit timely and otherwise proper requests for exclusion from the New York Class.

FILED: ONONDAGA COUNTY CLERK 03/20/2018 11:48 AM INDEX NO. 002913/2018 USCEF DOC. NO. Case 5:18-cv-00432-DNH-ATB Document 1-1 Filed 04/09/18 Page 9 of 17 NYSCEF: 03/20/2018

- 45. The members of the New York Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.
- 46. Upon information and belief, the size of the New York Class is at least 100 individuals. Although the precise number of such employees is unknown, the facts on which the calculation of that number depends are presently within the sole control of SPENCER GIFTS.
- 47. Common questions of law and fact exist as to the New York Class that predominate over any questions only affecting them individually and include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. whether SPENCER GIFTS violated NYLL, Articles 6 and 19, and the supporting New York State Department of Labor regulations;
 - b. whether SPENCER GIFTS failed to compensate Plaintiff and the New York Class for hours worked in excess of 40 hours per workweek;
 - c. whether SPENCER GIFTS misclassified Plaintiff and members of the New York Class;
 - d. whether SPENCER GIFTS failed to keep all records required by the NYLL;
 - e. whether SPENCER GIFTS' policy of failing to pay workers was instituted willfully or with reckless disregard of the law;
 - f. the nature and extent of class-wide injury and the measure of damages for those injuries; and
 - g. whether SPENCER GIFTS' failed to comply with the notice and recordkeeping requirements of the NYLL.
- 48. The claims of the Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the New York Class he seeks to represent. The Plaintiff and all members of the New York Class have worked for SPENCER GIFTS as SMs in New York State. The Plaintiff and members of the New York Class enjoy the same statutory rights under the NYLL to be paid overtime wages. The Plaintiff and members of the New York Class have all sustained similar types of damages as a result of

TILED: ONONDAGA COUNTY CLERK 03/20/2018 11:48 AM INDEX NO. 002913/2018 VSCEF DOC. NO. 25 5:18-CV-00432-DNH-ATB DOCUMENT 1-1 Filed 04/09/18 Page 10 of 17 NYSCEF: 03/20/2018

SPENCER GIFTS's failure to comply with the NYLL. The Plaintiff and the members of the New York Class have all been injured in that they have been uncompensated or undercompensated due to SPENCER GIFTS' common policies, practices and patterns of conduct.

- 49. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the members of the New York Class. The Plaintiff understands that as the class representative, he assumes fiduciary responsibilities to the class to represent its interests fairly and adequately. The Plaintiff recognizes that as class representative, he must represent and consider the interests of the class just as they would represent and consider their own interests. The Plaintiff understands that in decisions regarding the conduct of the litigation and its possible settlement, he must not favor his own interests over the interests of the class. The Plaintiff recognizes that any resolution of a class action must be in the best interest of the class. The Plaintiff understands that in order to provide adequate representation, he must be informed of developments in litigation, cooperate with class counsel, and testify at deposition and/or trial. The Plaintiff has retained counsel competent and experienced in complex class actions and employment litigation. There is no conflict between the Plaintiff and the New York Class members.
- 50. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation. The members of the New York Class have been damaged and are entitled to recovery as a result of SPENCER GIFTS' violation of the NYLL as well as its common and uniform policies, practices, and procedures. Although the relative damages suffered by individual members of the New York Class are not *de minimis*, such damages are small compared to the expense and burden of individual prosecution of this litigation. The individual Plaintiff lacks the financial resources to conduct a thorough examination of SPENCER GIFTS' timekeeping and compensation practices and to prosecute vigorously a

lawsuit against SPENCER GIFTS to recover such damages. In addition, class litigation is superior because it will obviate the need for unduly duplicative litigation that might result in inconsistent judgments about SPENCER GIFTS' practices.

51. This action is properly maintainable as a class action under Article 9 of the New York Civil Practice Law and Rules.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION Fair Labor Standards Act – Overtime Wages (Brought on behalf of Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective)

- 52. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 53. Defendant has engaged in a widespread pattern and practice of violating the FLSA, as described in this Collective and Class Action Complaint.
- 54. Plaintiff has consented in writing to be a party to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 55. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was engaged in commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 56. The overtime wage provisions set forth in §§ 201 *et seq.* of the FLSA apply to Defendant.
- 57. Defendant is an employer engaged in commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 58. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and all members of the FLSA Collective are or have been employees within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 59. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and all members of the FLSA Collective the overtime wages to which they were entitled under the FLSA.

Filed 04/09/18 Page 12 of 17 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/20/2018 INDEX NO. 002913/2018

60 Defendant's violations of the FLSA, as described in this Collective and Class Action Complaint, have been willful and intentional. Defendant failed to make a good faith effort to comply with the FLSA with respect to its compensation of Plaintiff and all members of the FLSA Collective.

- 61. Because Defendant's violations of the FLSA have been willful, a three-year statute of limitations applies to this Cause of Action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255.
- 62. As a result of Defendant's willful violations of the FLSA, Plaintiff and all members of the FLSA Collective have suffered damages by being denied overtime wages in accordance with 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq.
- 63. As a result of the unlawful acts of Defendant, Plaintiff and all members of the FLSA Collective have been deprived of overtime compensation and other wages in amounts to be determined at hearing, and is entitled to recovery of such amounts, liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and other compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION **New York Labor Law – Unpaid Overtime** (Brought on behalf of the Plaintiff and the New York Class)

- 64. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 65. At all times relevant, Plaintiff and members of the New York Class are or have been employees and Defendant has been their employer within the meaning of the NYLL.
 - 66. Plaintiff and the New York Class members are covered by the NYLL.
- 67. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and the members of the New York Class wages to which they were entitled under the NYLL. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and the members

FILED: ONONDAGA COUNTY CLERK 03/20/2018 11:48 AM INDEX NO. 002913/2018

WYSCEF DOC. NO. 25e 5:18-cv-00432-DNH-ATB Document 1-1 Filed 04/09/18 Page 13 of 17

NYSCEF: 03/20/2018

of the New York Class for overtime hours worked at a wage rate of one and one-half times the regular rates of pay.

68. Due to Defendant's intentional and willful violations of the NYLL, Plaintiff and the members of the New York Class are entitled to recover from Defendant their unpaid wages (including overtime wages), reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, and such other relief as provided by law.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

New York Labor Law – Notice and Record Keeping Violations (Brought on behalf of Plaintiff and the New York Class)

- 69. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 70. NYLL § 195(4) requires every employer to establish and maintain, for at least three years, *inter alia*, payroll records showing the hours worked, gross wages, deductions and net wages for each employee.
- 71. NYLL § 661 requires every employer to maintain, *inter alia*, true and accurate records of hours worked by each employee covered by an hourly minimum wage rate, and the wages paid to all employees.
- 72. 12 N.Y.C.R.R. § 142-2.6 requires every employer in miscellaneous industries to establish, maintain and preserve for six years weekly payroll records showing, *inter alia*, each employee's name, wage rate, number of hours worked daily and weekly, amount of gross and net wages, deductions from gross wages, and any allowances claimed as part of the minimum wage.
- 73. NYLL § 195(1) requires that every employer furnish each employee with a notice containing required information.

FILED: ONONDAGA COUNTY CLERK 03/20/2018 11:48 AM INDEX NO. 002913/2018 YSCEF DOC. NO. CASE 5:18-CV-00432-DNH-ATB Document 1-1 Filed 04/09/18 Page 14 of 17 NYSCEF: 03/20/2018

- 74. NYLL § 195(3) requires that every employer furnish each employee with a statement with every payment listing gross wages, deductions and net wages, and upon request of an employee, an explanation of the computation of wages.
- 75. 12 N.Y.C.R.R. § 142-2.7 requires every employer in miscellaneous industries to furnish each employee a statement with every payment of wages, listing hours worked, rates paid, gross and net wages, deductions, and allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage.
- 76. Defendant failed to comply with the notice and record keeping requirements of NYLL §195(1) and 195(3), resulting in penalties under NYLL § 198(1)(b) and 198(1)(d) for Plaintiff and the New York Class.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated persons, seeks the following relief:

- A. That, at the earliest possible time, Plaintiff be allowed to give notice of this collective action, or that the Court issue such notice, to all members of the FLSA Collective. Such notice should inform them that this civil action has been filed, of the nature of the action, and of their right to join this lawsuit, among other things;
- B. Unpaid overtime pay, and an additional and equal amount as liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA and the supporting United States Department of Labor regulations;
- C. Unpaid overtime pay, liquidated damages, and statutory and other penalties as permitted by law pursuant to the New York state law claims;
- D. Certification of this case as a class action pursuant to Article 9 of the New York Civil Practice Law and Rules;

FILED: ONONDAGA COUNTY CLERK 03/20/2018 11:48 AM INDEX NO. 002913/2018 Page 15 of 17 NYSCEF DOC. NO. 10:002913/2018 Page 15 of 17 NYSCEF: 03/20/2018

- E. Designation of the named Plaintiff as Class Representative of the New York Class and counsel of record as Class Counsel;
- F. Issuance of a declaratory judgment that the practices complained of in this Collective and Class Action Complaint are unlawful;
 - G. Pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest as provided by law;
- H. Appropriate equitable and injunctive relief to remedy violations, including but not necessarily limited to an order enjoining Defendant from continuing its unlawful practices;
 - I. Attorneys' fees and costs of the action;
- J. A reasonable incentive award for the Plaintiff to compensate him for the time and effort he has spent protecting the interests of other SMs, and the risks he has undertaken; and
 - K. Such other injunctive and equitable relief as this Court shall deem just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York March 20, 2018 Respectfully submitted,

s/Michael Palitz

Michael J. Palitz SHAVITZ LAW GROUP, P.A. 830 3rd Avenue, 5th Floor New York, New York 10022

Tel: (800) 616-4000 Fax: (561) 447-8831

Attorneys for Plaintiff, the Putative FLSA Collective, and the Putative New York Class

FILED: ONONDAGA COUNTY CLERK 03/20/2018 11:48 AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. CASE 5:18-CV-00432-DNH-ATB DOCUMENT 1-1 FIRED 04/09/18 Page 16 Of 17
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/20/2018

EXHIBIT A

FILED: ONONDAGA COUNTY CLERK 03/20/2018 11:48 AM

INDEX NO. 002913/2018

Page 17 of 17

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 10 2018 NYSCEF: 03/20/2018

CONSENT TO JOIN FORM

- 1. I consent to be a party plaintiff in a lawsuit against Defendant(s), **Spencer Gifts**, and/or related entities and individuals in order to seek redress for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 2. I hereby designate the Shavitz Law Group, P.A. to represent me in bringing such claim, and to make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation and settlement. I agree to be bound by any adjudication of this action by the Court, whether it is favorable or unfavorable.
- 3. I also consent to join any other related action against Defendant(s) or other potentially responsible parties to assert my claim and for this Consent Form to be filed in any such action.

— Docusigned by: Bryan Chapel
Sig1124111869724CC
Bryan Chapel
Print Name